

FORM 1. Notice of Appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from a  
Judgment or Order of a UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Form 1  
Rev. 03/16

USCAVC  
United States District Court

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR VETERANS CLAIMS

May 6, 2020

RECEIVED

for the

District of

VAN ALLEN

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No.

19-2229

WILKIE

Defendant.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given that HAROLD W VAN ALLEN  
(name all parties \* taking the appeal) in the above named case hereby appeal to the United States  
Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ASSIGNMENT TO JUDGE PIETSCH  
(from the final judgment) ((from an order)) (describe the order)) entered in this action on 4/29/2020

(DOCKET ENTRY)

19-2229

[Signature]  
(Signature of appellant or attorney)

HVAN ALLEN @ HVC. RR. COM  
351 NORTH RD  
HARLEY, NY 12743

(Address of appellant or attorney and e-mail address)

Reset Fields

View the [Full Docket](#)

**General Docket**  
**United States Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims**

**Case Number:** 19-2229

**Docketed:** 03/30/2019

Harold W. Van Allen v. Robert L. Wilkie

**Appeal From:** Department of Veteran Affairs


**Fee Status:** dfh


**Case Type Information:**


1) NOA - Veterans Appeal


2) -


3) -


12/05/2019  Appellee's Brief (KAW)


12/09/2019  Mot of Appellant to consolidate cases 19-2229 and 19-7837 to Federal Circuit - COS 12/12/2019 (SEY)


12/20/2019  Judge's stamp order denying appellant's motion to consolidate cases 19-2229 and 19-7837 to Federal Circuit (DAVIS) (SEY)


01/03/2020  Appearance of John E Matthews II for Robert L. Wilkie, in case 19-2229 as non-attorney practitioner (JEM)

01/03/2020  Record of Proceedings (JEM)


01/27/2020  Appellant's Notice of Appeal transmitted to U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (SEY)

02/20/2020  RECEIVED: Notice of Docketing from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit dated 2/20/2020; 2020-1495 (SEY)

04/29/2020  RECEIVED: Order from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit dated 4/29/2020; DISMISSED (SEY)

04/29/2020  RECEIVED: Judgment from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit dated 4/29/2020; DISMISSED (SEY)

04/29/2020 Assigned case to Judge Pietsch (KEM)



SCOTUS - THOMAS Acting Chief Just.

USCA - DCD, FC, 5<sup>th</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup>

AO 310 (Rev. 03/16)

Judicial Council of the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> Circuit

## COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

To begin the complaint process, complete this form and prepare the brief statement of facts described in item 4 (below). The RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS, adopted by the Judicial Conference of the United States, contain information on what to include in a complaint (Rule 6), where to file a complaint (Rule 7), and other important matters. The Rules are available in federal court clerks' offices, on individual federal courts' websites, and on [www.uscourts.gov](http://www.uscourts.gov).

Your complaint (this form and the statement of facts) should be typewritten and must be legible. For the number of copies to file, consult the local rules or clerk's office of the court in which your complaint is required to be filed. Enclose each copy of the complaint in an envelope marked "COMPLAINT OF MISCONDUCT" or "COMPLAINT OF DISABILITY" and submit it to the appropriate clerk of court. Do not put the name of any judge on the envelope.

1. Name of Complainant:

Contact Address:

HAROLD WILLIAM VAN ALLEN

351 NORTH RD

HURLEY NY 12443

Daytime telephone:

(845) 389 4366

2. Name(s) of Judge(s):

Court:

CHIEF JUDGES FIRST, SECOND, THIRD

FOURTH, FIFTH, SIXTH, SEVENTH, EIGHTH, NINTH, TENTH, ELEVENTH, DEEP FEDERAL SCOTUS, CAAF

3. Does this complaint concern the behavior of the judge(s) in a particular lawsuit or lawsuits?

☒ Yes☐ No

If "yes," give the following information about each lawsuit:

Court:

Case Number:

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, DCC, FC, SCOTUS

Docket number of any appeal to the

Circuit:

Are (were) you a party or lawyer in the lawsuit?

☒ Party☐ Lawyer☐ Neither1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11  
DCC, FC, SCOTUS, CAAF

If you are (were) a party and have (had) a lawyer, give the lawyer's name, address, and telephone number:

WALKER F. TODD

AO 310 (Rev. 03/16)

Judicial Council of the

DCC, FG  
1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11  
Circuit**COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY**

4. **Brief Statement of Facts.** Attach a brief statement of the specific facts on which the claim of judicial misconduct or disability is based. Include what happened, when and where it happened, and any information that would help an investigator check the facts. If the complaint alleges judicial disability, also include any additional facts that form the basis of that allegation.

5. **Declaration and signature:**

I declare under penalty of perjury that the statements made in this complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_



Date: \_\_\_\_\_

12/16/2019

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

HAROLD WILLIAM VAN ALLEN,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBERT MUELLER, *et al.*,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 18-0150 (ABJ)

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Plaintiff Harold William Van Allen has filed a *pro se* complaint against 19 named defendants that include Special Counsel Robert Mueller, President Donald Trump, Vice President Mike Pence, Attorney General Jeff Sessions, the Chief Justice of the United States and several judges from the U.S. District Court and U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit. Compl. [Dkt. # 1]. The complaint consists of a single paragraph:

Regarding executive authority over all federal and state chief judiciary proposed "Trump-Pence Executive Order(s)" ordering SCOTUS Chief Judge John Roberts to cease avoiding and immediately define US constitution language "natural born citizen" CINC/POTUS eligibility of all living former presidents (JE Carter, GHW Bush, GW Bush, WJ Clinton, BH Obama, DJ Trump and all living so confirmed federal judicial nominees regarding their past and current judicial authority (quo warranto) NYS statewide federal and New York State elections 1992 (H Ross Perot), 1997 (Robert L Schulz), 1996 (H Ross Perot electoral college delegate), 1997 (Constitutional Convention Question), 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, and now 2017 (Constitutional Convention Question) – New York based Hillary R. and William J. et al Clintons' espionage – unauthorized transfer and storage of electronic classified documents on private non-secure non-government URL/ISP/server(s).


Compl. ¶ 1. Based on this paragraph, it is unclear what relief plaintiff seeks from this Court, and whether this Court has the authority to hear the case.

“Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction. They possess only that power authorized by Constitution and statute, which is not to be expanded by judicial decree. It is to be presumed that a cause lies outside this limited jurisdiction, and the burden of establishing the contrary rests upon the party asserting jurisdiction.” *Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of Am.*, 511 U.S. 375, 377 (1994) (internal citations omitted). Furthermore, “[i]t is axiomatic that subject matter jurisdiction may not be waived, and that courts may raise the issue *sua sponte*.” *NetworkIP, L.L.C. v. FCC*, 548 F.3d 116, 120 (D.C. Cir. 2008), quoting *Athens Cmty. Hosp., Inc. v. Schweiker*, 686 F.2d 989, 992 (D.C. Cir. 1982). Indeed, a federal court must raise the issue because it is “forbidden – as a court of limited jurisdiction – from acting beyond [its] authority, and ‘no action of the parties can confer subject-matter jurisdiction upon a federal court.’” *Id.*, quoting *Akinseye v. District of Columbia*, 339 F.3d 970, 971 (D.C. Cir. 2003). A district court may dismiss a complaint *sua sponte* pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(h)(3), when it is evident that the court lacks subject-matter jurisdiction. See *Evans v. Suter*, No. 09-5242, 2010 WL 1632902 (D.C. Cir. Apr. 2, 2010), citing *Hurt v. U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Cir.*, 264 F. App’x 1 (D.C. Cir. 2008).

Subject matter jurisdiction is lacking where a complaint “is patently insubstantial presenting no federal question suitable for decision.” *Tooley v. Napolitano*, 586 F.3d 1006, 1009 (D.C. Cir. 2009), quoting *Best v. Kelly*, 39 F.3d 328, 330 (D.C. Cir. 1994). A claim is “patently insubstantial” when it is “flimsier than doubtful or questionable . . . essentially fictitious.” *Best*, 39 F.3d at 330 (internal quotation marks omitted); see *Hagans v. Lavine*, 415 U.S. 528, 536–37 (1974) (“[F]ederal courts are without power to entertain claims otherwise within their jurisdiction

if they are so attenuated and unsubstantial as to be absolutely devoid of merit, wholly insubstantial, [or] obviously frivolous . . . .”) (internal citations and quotation marks omitted); *see, e.g., Peters v. Obama*, Misc. No. 10-0298, 2010 WL 2541066 (D.D.C. June 21, 2010) (*sua sponte* dismissing complaint alleging that President Obama had been served with and failed to respond to an “Imperial Writ of Habeas Corpus” by the “Imperial Dominion of Amexem,” requiring the plaintiff’s immediate release from a correctional institution).

Although the Court is mindful that complaints filed by *pro se* litigants are held to less stringent standards than those applied to formal pleadings drafted by lawyers, *see Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519 (1972); *Brown v. District of Columbia*, 514 F.3d 1279, 1283 (D.C. Cir. 2008), it finds that plaintiff’s allegations in the present case present “no federal question suitable for decision.” *Best*, 39 F.3d at 330. It is true that on the cover sheet filed with the complaint, plaintiff identifies 42 U.S.C. § 1983 as the basis for his cause of action. Dkt. # 1-1. But since that statute authorizes the filing of constitutional claims against state, and not federal, officials, *Wyatt v. Cole*, 504 U.S. 158, 161 (1992), it cannot provide the predicate for subject matter jurisdiction in this case. Accordingly, the Court will dismiss this case *sua sponte* pursuant to Rule 12(h)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. A separate order will issue.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Amy B. Jackson". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style. The first name "Amy" is written with a large, looped capital 'A'. The middle initial "B." is written with a capital 'B' followed by a period. The last name "Jackson" is written with a capital 'J' and a long, horizontal stroke extending to the right. The signature is written over a horizontal line.

AMY BERMAN JACKSON  
United States District Judge

DATE: February 5, 2018



Case 1:18-cv-00150-ABJ Document 2 Filed 02/05/18 Page 1 of 1

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

HAROLD WILLIAM VAN ALLEN,

Plaintiff,

v.


ROBERT MUELLER, *et al.*,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 18-0150 (ABJ)

**ORDER**

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(h)(3) and 58, and for the reasons stated in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, it is ORDERED that this case is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

**SO ORDERED.**

AMY BERMAN JACKSON  
United States District Judge

DATE: February 5, 2018



UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT  
717 MADISON PLACE, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20439

PETER R. MARKSTEINER  
CLERK OF COURT

CLERK'S OFFICE  
202-275-8000

March 23, 2020

Harold William Van Allen  
351 North Road  
Hurley, NY 12443

RE: Judicial Misconduct Complaint Nos. FC-20-90015, FC-20-90016,  
FC-20-90017, FC-20-90018, FC-20-90019, FC-20-90020, FC-20-90021,  
FC-20-90022, FC-20-90023, FC-20-90024, FC-20-90025, FC-20-90026, and  
FC-20-90027

Dear Mr. Van Allen:

This will acknowledge receipt on March 17, 2020, of your Complaints of Judicial Misconduct under Rule 8(d) of the Rules for Judicial Conduct and Judicial Disability Proceedings.

FOR THE COURT

/s/ Peter R. Marksteiner  
Peter R. Marksteiner  
Clerk of Court

## U.S. Department of Justice



Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Washington, D.C. 20535

September 28, 2015

MR. HAROLD WILLIAM VAN ALLEN  
351 NORTH ROAD  
HURLEY, NY 12443

FOIPA Request No.: 1337093-000  
Subject: SOETORO, BARRY (1957-1963  
DESTRUCTION OF PASSPORT  
RECORDS)

Dear Mr. Van Allen:

This acknowledges receipt of your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request to the FBI. The FOIPA number listed above has been assigned to your request.

You have requested records concerning one or more third party individuals. The FBI recognizes an important privacy interest in the requested information. You may receive greater access to these records if they exist by providing one of the following: (1) an authorization and consent from the individual(s) (i.e., express authorization and consent of the third party); (2) proof of death (i.e., proof that your subject is deceased); or (3) a justification that the public interest in disclosure outweighs personal privacy (i.e., a clear demonstration that the public interest in disclosure outweighs personal privacy interests). In the absence of such information, the FBI can neither confirm nor deny the existence of any records responsive to your request, which, if they were to exist, would be exempt from disclosure pursuant to FOIA Exemptions (b)(6) and (b)(7)(C), 5 U.S.C. §§ 552 (b)(6) and (b)(7)(C).

**Express authorization and consent.** If you seek disclosure of any existing records on this basis, enclosed is a Certification of Identity form. You may make additional copies of this form if you are requesting information on more than one individual. The subject of your request should complete this form and then sign it. Alternatively, the subject may prepare a document containing the required descriptive data and have it notarized. The original certification of identity or notarized authorization with the descriptive information must contain a legible, original signature before FBI can conduct an accurate search of our records.

**Proof of death.** If you seek disclosure of any existing records on this basis, proof of death can be a copy of a death certificate, Social Security Death Index, obituary, or another recognized reference source. Death is presumed if the birth date of the subject is more than 100 years ago.

**Public Interest Disclosure.** If you seek disclosure of any existing records on this basis, you must demonstrate that the public interest in disclosure outweighs personal privacy interests. In this regard, you must show that the public interest sought is a significant one, and that the requested information is likely to advance that interest.

Fax your request to the Work Process Unit at (540) 868-4997, or mail to 170 Marcel Drive, Winchester, VA 22602. If we do not receive a response from you within 30 days from the date of this letter, your request will be closed. You must include the FOIPA request number with any communication regarding this matter.

For your information, Congress excluded three discrete categories of law enforcement and national security records from the requirements of the FOIA. See 5 U.S.C. § 552(c). As such, this response is limited to those records, if any exist, that are subject to the FOIA. This is a standard notification that is given to all our requesters and should not be taken as an indication that excluded records do, or do not, exist.

You may file an appeal by writing to the Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP), U.S. Department of Justice, 1425 New York Ave., NW, Suite 11050, Washington, D.C. 20530-0001, or you may submit an appeal through OIP's eFOIA portal at <http://www.justice.gov/oip/efoia-portal.html>. Your appeal to OIP must be postmarked or transmitted within sixty (60) days from the date of this letter in order to be considered timely. The envelope and the letter should be clearly marked "Freedom of Information Appeal." Please cite the FOIPA Request Number in any correspondence to us for proper identification of your request.




State the details of your complaint or information below. Use the attached sheet if necessary. If you have any relevant documents, please attach COPIES only. DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS. Do you represent the information you have provided to be true?

(YES)

Your Name: HAROLD WILLIAM VAN ALLEN Today's Date: AUG 21 2019

STANDING BASED UPON PERSONAL EXPERIENCE AS PEROT 1992  
AND 1996 ELECTOR FOR RUSS PEROT - NEW  
YORK STATEWIDE BALLOT ELECTORAL COLLEGE  
INDIANELECTOR, AND UWSA / INDEPENDENCE PARTY - NYS  
OFFICER,

ALSO: PLEASE INVESTIGATE THE FISC/FISA  
FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE COURT  
MONITORING OF ~~PEROT~~ RUSS PEROT SINCE 1978  
COMMUNICATIONS AND ROLES CIA/DOJ/FBI/DOJ  
ETC ESPIONAGE TO DENY UNDER COVER OF  
LAW AND COUNTER ESPIONAGE ASSETS OF  
THE SUCCESSFUL EARLY CANDIDACY OF  
ROSS PEROT LEADING THE POLLING 1992  
AND STEERING AGAIN IN 1996 DEBATES  
INCLUDING HARTFORD CT DEBATE THE  
USE OF FOREIGN <sup>STATE INTELLIGENCE</sup> ~~STATE~~ INTERFERENCE  
CHINESE, IRAN, COMMONWEALTH-UK, AUSTRALIAN  
AND ITALIAN ROGUE INTELLIGENCE ASSETS.





United States Department of State

Washington, D.C. 20520

DEC 21 2015

In reply refer to: 2011001713

CA/PPT/S/L/LE – Memo from DOS Regarding Purge of Passport Records  
Case Control Number - 201103329

H William Van Allen  
351 North Road  
Hurley, NY 12443

Dear Mr. Van Allen:

The following is in response to your letter dated April 25, 2011, pertaining to the communications from Department of State authorizing the Purge of Passport Records, under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552).

We apologize for the delay in responding to your request.

The Department of State, Passport Services has completed an extensive search of its records. The search resulted in the retrieval of two documents that appear to be relevant to your request. After careful review of the documents, we have determined that one document may be released in full and one document may be released with excisions. The excisions are in accordance with subsection (b)(6) of the Freedom of Information Act. The release of this information to you would be an invasion of another person without written authority from that person. Please see the enclosed Table of Exemptions, which identifies the subsection of the statute referenced above, for a summary of the citation that is applicable.

You have the right to appeal our determination within 60 days to the following address:


Chairman, Appeals Review Panel  
c/o Appeals Office  
U. S. Department of State  
SA-2, Room 8100  
515 22<sup>nd</sup> Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20522-8100

-2

Enclosed you will find additional information to assist you with the appeals process.

We hope the documents prove helpful to you.

Sincerely,



for / Jonathan M. Rolbin, Director  
Office of Legal Affairs and Law Enforcement Liaison  
Bureau of Consular Affairs  
Passport Services

Enclosure:  
As stated

---



# Party Search Results

**Search Criteria:** Party Search; Last Name: [Van Allen]; First Name: [Harold]

**Result Count:** 41 (1 page)

**Current Page:** 1

Party Name	Case Number	Case Title	Court	Date Filed	Date Closed
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2015op03463	Natural Born Citizen Party Nat, et alln re: Natural Born Citizen Party Nat, et al	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Third Circuit	10/15/2015	11/19/2015
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2015op03759	Natural Born Citizen Partyln re: Natural Born Citizen Party	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Third Circuit	11/17/2015	02/04/2016
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2015op03896	Harold Van Allen v. Gino Agnello	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Seventh Circuit	12/31/2015	01/06/2016
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2014mand00101	Van Allenln re: Van Allen	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Federal Circuit	11/13/2013	12/20/2013
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2014cvus07058	Van Allen v. McDonald	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Federal Circuit	03/07/2014	05/13/2014
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2015cvus07095	Van Allen v. McDonald	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Federal Circuit	06/25/2015	09/11/2015
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2015cvus07100	Van Allen v. McDonald	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Federal Circuit		01/12/2016
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2015mand00141	Van Allenln re: Van Allen	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Federal Circuit	09/02/2015	09/22/2015
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2018cvus01161	Van Allen v. Wilkie	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Federal Circuit	11/07/2017	02/07/2018
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2013cvus07057	Van Allen v. Shinseki	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Federal Circuit	01/31/2013	10/11/2013
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2012cvus05012	Harold Van Allen v. US	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Federal Circuit	10/26/2011	12/07/2011



VAN ALLEN, HAROLD W. (pla)	1:2005cv00166	VAN ALLEN v. USA	U.S. Court of Federal Claims	01/25/2005	02/27/2006
VAN ALLEN, HAROLD W. (pla)	1:2010cv00467	VAN ALLEN v. USA	U.S. Court of Federal Claims	07/14/2010	09/14/2011
VAN ALLEN, HAROLD W. (pla)	1:2011cv00706	VAN ALLEN v. USA	U.S. Court of Federal Claims	10/25/2011	04/24/2012
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2012cvus05414	Christopher Strunk v. Department of State, et al	U.S. Court Of Appeals, D.C. Circuit	12/28/2012	02/14/2013
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2013opus05059	Harold Van AllenIn re: Harold Van Allen	U.S. Court Of Appeals, D.C. Circuit	02/19/2013	04/16/2013
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2013cvus05160	Harold Van Allen v. Department of Veterans Affairs, et al	U.S. Court Of Appeals, D.C. Circuit	05/31/2013	01/09/2014
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2014opus05191	Harold Van AllenIn re: Harold Van Allen	U.S. Court Of Appeals, D.C. Circuit	07/28/2014	12/03/2014
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2014cvus05325	Joseph Arpaio v. Barack Obama, et al	U.S. Court Of Appeals, D.C. Circuit	12/29/2014	08/14/2015
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2014cvus05327	Christopher Strunk, et al v. DOS, et al	U.S. Court Of Appeals, D.C. Circuit	12/29/2014	08/11/2015
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2015cvus05218	Natural Born Citizen Party, et al v. FEC, et al	U.S. Court Of Appeals, D.C. Circuit	07/31/2015	05/05/2016
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2015opus05251	Natural Born Citizen, et alIn re: Natural Born Citizen, et al	U.S. Court Of Appeals, D.C. Circuit	09/09/2015	04/21/2016
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2016opus05120	Natural Born Citizen Party, et alIn re: Natural Born Citizen Party, et al	U.S. Court Of Appeals, D.C. Circuit	05/02/2016	07/12/2016
Van Allen, Harold W.	0:2016opus05375	Harold Van AllenIn re: Harold Van	U.S. Court Of Appeals, D.C. Circuit	12/19/2016	02/15/2017

		Allen			
VAN ALLEN, HAROLD W. (pla)	1:2012cv01538	VAN ALLEN v. US DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS et al	District Of Columbia District Court	09/14/2012	02/28/2013
VAN ALLEN, HAROLD W. (pla)	1:2014cv00995	STRUNK et al v. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF STATE et al	District Of Columbia District Court	06/10/2014	06/16/2014
Van Allen, Harold William	0:2015op02379	In Re: Natural Born Citizen, et al	U.S. Court Of Appeals, First Circuit	11/17/2015	11/30/2015
Van Allen, Harold William	0:2016op01158	In Re: Natural Born Citizen, et al	U.S. Court Of Appeals, First Circuit	02/08/2016	02/08/2016
Van Allen, Harold William	0:2015op03370	In Re: Harold William Van Alle	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Second Circuit	10/22/2015	12/17/2015
Van Allen, Harold William	0:2015op03472	In Re: Harold William Van Alle	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Second Circuit	10/29/2015	12/17/2015
Van Allen, Harold William	0:2016op01023	In Re: Harold William Van Alle	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Second Circuit	04/01/2016	06/16/2016
Van Allen, Harold William	0:2015op.o02505	In Re: Natural Born Citizen	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Fourth Circuit	12/03/2015	02/29/2016
Van Allen, Harold William	0:2015op41276	Harold Van AllenIn re: Harold Van Allen	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Fifth Circuit	09/23/2015	04/19/2016
Van Allen, Harold William	0:2015op06327	Harold W. Van AllenIn re: Harold W. Van Allen	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Sixth Circuit	12/02/2015	02/11/2016
Van Allen, Harold William	0:2015op01464	In re: Van Allen	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Tenth Circuit	12/03/2015	12/08/2015
Van Allen, Harold William	0:2015op15349	Harold Van AllenIn re: Harold Van Allen	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Eleventh Circuit	12/02/2015	08/31/2016
VAN ALLEN, HAROLD WILLIAM (pla)	1:2015cv01036	NATURAL BORN CITIZEN PARTY NATIONAL COMMITTEE et	District Of Columbia District Court	06/30/2015	07/06/2015

al v. FEDERAL  
ELECTION  
COMMISSION  
et al

VAN ALLEN, HAROLD WILLIAM (pla)	1:2016cv01426	VAN ALLEN v. GIBSON	District Of Columbia District Court	07/07/2016	11/22/2016
VAN ALLEN, HAROLD WILLIAM (pla)	1:2017cv00517	VAN ALLEN v. ROBERTS et al	District Of Columbia District Court	03/20/2017	08/29/2017
VAN ALLEN, HAROLD WILLIAM (pla)	1:2018cv00150	VAN ALLEN v. MUELLER et al	District Of Columbia District Court	01/18/2018	02/06/2018
Van Allen, Harold William (mov)	1:2014cv00254	State of Texas et al v. United States of America et al	Texas Southern District Court	12/03/2014	09/14/2017



# Party Search Results

**Search Criteria:** Party Search; Last Name: [natural born citizen party]

**Result Count:** 12 (1 page)

**Current Page:** 1

Party Name	Case Number	Case Title	Court	Date Filed	Date Closed
Natural Born Citizen Party National Committee	0:2015op03463	Natural Born Citizen Party Nat, et alIn re: Natural Born Citizen Party Nat, et al	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Third Circuit	10/15/2015	11/19/2015
Natural Born Citizen Party National Committee	0:2015op03759	Natural Born Citizen PartyIn re: Natural Born Citizen Party	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Third Circuit	11/17/2015	02/04/2016
Natural Born Citizen Party National Committee	0:2015op.o02505	In Re: Natural Born Citizen	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Fourth Circuit	12/03/2015	02/29/2016
Natural Born Citizen Party National Committee	0:2015op03775	In re Natl. Born Citizen, etc	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Eighth Circuit	12/07/2015	12/09/2015
Natural Born Citizen Party National Committee	0:2015msop8019	Natural Born Citizen Party Nat	U.S. Court Of Appeals, Ninth Circuit	11/18/2015	01/27/2016
Natural Born Citizen Party National Committee	0:2015cvus05218	Natural Born Citizen Party, et al v. FEC, et al	U.S. Court Of Appeals, D.C. Circuit	07/31/2015	05/05/2016
Natural Born Citizen Party National Committee	0:2015opus05251	Natural Born Citizen, et alIn re: Natural Born Citizen, et al	U.S. Court Of Appeals, D.C. Circuit	09/09/2015	04/21/2016
Natural Born Citizen Party National Committee	0:2016opus05120	Natural Born Citizen Party, et alIn re: Natural Born Citizen Party, et al	U.S. Court Of Appeals, D.C. Circuit	05/02/2016	07/12/2016
NATURAL BORN CITIZEN PARTY NATIONAL COMMITTEE	1:2015cv01036	NATURAL BORN CITIZEN PARTY NATIONAL COMMITTEE et	District Of Columbia District Court	06/30/2015	07/06/2015

(p/a)			al v. FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION et al		
Natural Born Citizen Party National Committee (mov)	1:2014cv00254	State of Texas et al v. United States of America et al	Texas Southern District Court	12/03/2014	09/14/2017
Natural Born Citizen Party National Committee, et al.	0:2015op02379	In Re: Natural Born Citizen, et al	U.S. Court Of Appeals, First Circuit	11/17/2015	11/30/2015
Natural Born Citizen Party National Committee, et al.	0:2016op01158	In Re: Natural Born Citizen, et al	U.S. Court Of Appeals, First Circuit	02/08/2016	02/08/2016

**PACER Service Center Receipt** 12/24/2019 21:11:00 1773276350

**User** ps1136

**Client Code**

**Description** All Court Types Party Search  
All Courts; Name natural born citizen party; All  
Courts; Page: 1

**Billable Pages** 1 (\$0.10)